

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/614,116	07	7/03/2003	Colin M. Tice	A01386-US	3335	
7590 01/13/2006				EXAM	EXAMINER	
RheoGene, In 2650 Eisenhow		ije	BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN			
Norristown, PA 19403				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·				1624		
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/614,116	TICE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Oct 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or example. 		·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transformation are objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of Group IV, claims 6-12 in the reply filed on 1019/2005 is acknowledged. However, upon further consideration, examiner noted of omission choice of compound of formula I or compound of formula II or compound of formula III in the method of us Groups IV-VII. Hence a revised restriction requirement is set forth.

Claims 1-18 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-5, drawn to compound of formula I

Group II, claim 1, drawn to compound of formula II.

Group III, claims 1-5, drawn to compound of formula III.

Group IV, claims 6-12, drawn to a method of modulating expression of a target gene using compound of formulae I, II and III. If this group is elected, applicants should also elect a specific choice from compound of formulae I, II and III.

Group V, claim 13, drawn to a method of producing polypeptide in a cell using compound of formulae I, II and III. If this group is elected, applicants should also elect a specific choice from compound of formulae I, II and III.

Group VI, claims 14-17, drawn to method of regulating endogenous or heterologous using compound of formulae I, II and II. If this group is elected, applicants should also elect a specific choice from compound of formulae I, II and III.

Group VII, claim 18, drawn to yet another method of modulating a gene in a host using compound of formulae I, II and III. If this group is elected, applicants should also elect a specific choice from compound of formulae I, II and III.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III, IV, V, VI and VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The requirement for unity of invention is two-fold: (1) common utility and (2) sharing a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to the utility. Both these conditions are to be met with.

First of all, Groups I-III relate to compounds while Groups IV-VII relate to several of method of uses. Hence, Group I-III is clearly distinct form Groups IV-VII.

Secondly, Groups I, II, and III are independent and distinct from each other because they are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds that lack common core namely acylaminopeptide versus sulfamidopeptide versus 1phosphamidopeptide cores. Consequently, the groups require separate prior art searches. They can be made and used independently. Art, which may render obvious or anticipate one of the groups would not necessarily do the same for the other group. Each can support a patent, as the compounds of each group are capable of being utilized alone not in combination with other members listed in the Markush group.

Except for few carbon atoms and a nitrogen, all structural features are varied and therefore the core groups do not share the same structural feature essential for the disclosed activity. As seen in the prior art cited in the International Search Report as well of various method of use claims recited in Group IV-VII, the structural cores have different utility. Thus more than one utility recited in the references cited in the Search Report of structurally related compounds and the instant invention negates the common distinct utility requirement.

Thus, both the above conditions for unity of invention are not met with.

As for Groups IV-VII, it is clearly that they relate distinct method of use of the compound of formulae I, II, III. Again more than one utility both in the prior art and the those recited in the invention negates the 1) common utility and (2) sharing a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to the utility requirements.

In view of distinct nature of each invention, the restriction is set forth in writing.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571) 272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

Application/Control Number: 10/614,116

Art Unit: 1624

8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is

Page 5

James O. Wilson, whose telephone number is 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300. Any

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAG. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

Venkalaramon Balasubramin in

Venkataraman Balasubramanian

1/7/2006